

Note:  $R/I$  is a ring (with operations defined above)

if and only if  $I$  is an ideal in  $R$ .

Why? Suppose  $I \subset R$  is a subring but not an ideal.

Then there exists  $r \in R$  and  $a \in I$  such

that  $ar \notin I$  or  $ra \notin I$ .

↑ focus on this possibility

But then  $0+I = a+I$ , but multiplication

is not well-defined:

$$(0+I)(r+I) = 0+I \neq ar+I = (a+I)(r+I)$$

↑  
aka  $I$ .

↑  $\neq I$   
because  $ar \notin I$ .

$\underbrace{\mathbb{R}}_{\text{reals}} \quad \underbrace{f(x)}$   
Ex In  $\mathbb{R}[x] = \{a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$   
 $\langle x \rangle = \{f(x)x \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]\}$   
 $= \{a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$

for any polynomial  $f(x)$ ,  
 $f(x)x$  has no constant term

$$\mathbb{R}[x] / \langle x \rangle = \{f(x) + \langle x \rangle \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]\}$$

$\uparrow$  choose an equivalent representative for coset.

$$= \{a_0 + \langle x \rangle \mid a_0 \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

equivalent (isomorphic!) as rings.  $\approx \mathbb{R}$ .  
 intuitive

$\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}_{\text{ideal in } \mathbb{Z}}$   
Ex  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{m + n\mathbb{Z} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$   
 $\approx \mathbb{Z}_n$  (as rings)

$$(m_1 + n\mathbb{Z})(m_2 + n\mathbb{Z}) = m_1m_2 + n\mathbb{Z} = (m_1m_2) \bmod n + n\mathbb{Z}$$

$\hookrightarrow$  equiv. coset representative.